TABLE II. Pressure effects on  $T_c$  and  $T_M$ . For compounds, in which nonlinear pressure behavior exists, the quoted values are for P=1 bar. We have also found that,  $dT_M/dP$  and  $dT_c/dP$  of La<sub>3</sub>S<sub>4</sub> and La<sub>3</sub>Se<sub>4</sub> have opposite signs. This may be caused by the slight difference in compositions. Details are still under study. The unusually large values of  $dT_c/dP$  and  $dT_M/dP$  may be associated with the virtual f states of La.

Compound	<i>Т</i> <sub>с</sub> (К)	$\frac{dT_c/dP}{(10^{-5}\mathrm{K}\mathrm{bar}^{-1})}$	$T_M$ (K)	$\frac{dT_{\rm M}/dP}{(10^{-4}~{\rm K}{\rm bar}^{-1})}$
V <sub>3</sub> Si	16.5	+3.65 <sup>a</sup>	21.5	-1.5 <sup>a</sup>
Nb <sub>3</sub> Sn	17.8	-1.40 <sup>b</sup>	43.2	+3.3 <sup>b</sup>
V <sub>0,54</sub> Ru <sub>0,46</sub>	4.92	$+0.91^{c}$	45	-3.2°
HfV <sub>2</sub>	8.9	+6.5 <sup>d</sup>	128	-8 <sup>d</sup>
$ZrV_2$	7.9	$\sim 0^{d}$	124	-1 d
$La_3S_4$	8.1	+11 <sup>e</sup>	86	+13 e
$La_3Se_4$	7.6	+7 <sup>e</sup>	65	+38 <sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> C. W. Chu and L. R. Testardi, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>32</u>, 766 (1974).

<sup>b</sup> Reference 13.

<sup>d</sup> T. F. Smith et al., J. Phys. F 3, 2157 (1973).

<sup>e</sup>R. N. Shelton et al., Mater. Res. Bull. 10, 1111 (1975).

structural transition at  $T_M$  in A15 isotropic compounds in a certain way. For the layer compounds, the macroscopic local conditions have been shown<sup>16,17</sup> to have a smaller effect on  $T_0$  than on  $T_d$ . On the other hand, the occurrence of the structural transformation at  $T_M$  in an isotropic superconductor<sup>18</sup> depends sensitively on the sample conditions, e.g., impurity and local strain as

\*Research supported in part by the NSF Grant No. DMR-73-02660A02 and Research Corporation.

†Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. ERDA.

<sup>1</sup>M. Marezio, P. D. Dernier, A. Menth, and G. W. Hull, J. Solid State Chem. 4, 425 (1972).

- <sup>2</sup>D. E. Moncton, J. D. Axe, and F. J. DiSalvo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 734 (1975).
- <sup>3</sup>E. Revolinsky, G. A. Spiering, and D. J. Beerntsen, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 26, 1029 (1965).
- <sup>4</sup>T. F. Smith, R. N. Shelton, and R. E. Schwall, J. Phys. F 4, 2009 (1974), and references therein.
- <sup>5</sup>P. Molinie, D. Jerome, and A. J. Grant, Philos. Mag. <u>30</u>, 1091 (1974); and J. Friedel, J. Phys. Lett. <u>36</u>, <u>L279</u> (1975).
- <sup>6</sup>C. W. Chu, L. R. Testardi, F. J. DiSalvo, and D. E. Moncton, Phys. Rev. B (to be published).
- <sup>7</sup>T. F. Smith, R. N. Shelton, and R. E. Schwall, J. Phys. F 5, 1713 (1975).
- <sup>8</sup>J. M. E. Harper, T. H. Geballe, and F. J. DiSalvo, Phys. Lett. A 54, 27 (1975).
- <sup>9</sup>L. R. Testardi, Phys. Rev. B <u>12</u>, 3849 (1975).
- <sup>10</sup>M. Barmatz, L. R. Testardi, F. J. DiSalvo, Phys. Rev. B 12, 4367 (1975).
- <sup>11</sup>L. R. Testardi (private communication).
- <sup>12</sup>Very recently C. Berthier, P. Molinie, and D. Jerome

reflected in the drastic variation of  $T_M$  with the resistance ratio (or the mean life time of the d electrons) of the sample.<sup>19,20</sup> In this sense, the structural transition at  $T_M$  in the isotropic superconductors seems to be more reminiscent of the  $T_d$  transition than the  $T_0$  transition in the layer compounds. It would thus not be too surprising for one to see another transition corresponding to the onset of an incommensurate CDW in A15 high- $T_c$  superconductors above  $T_M$ .

In conclusion, we have determined the effect of pressure on the formation of the CDW in 2H-NbSe. Analysis of the acoustic and the present results indicates that interlayer coupling may have a large effect on the CDW formation in 2H-NbSe2. Comparison of these results with those on 2H-TaSe, suggests that the suppression of  $T_0$  by pressure is not a necessary condition for the enhancement of superconductivity. By examining all available high-pressure data on both layered and isotropic unstable superconductors, we find that  $|dT_c/dP|$ ,  $|dT_0/dP|$  or  $|dT_M/dP|$ , and  $|dT_d/dP|$  fall into three distinct orders of magnitude. The similarity of the data for the isotropic and layer compounds with structural instabilities is not inconsistent with the existence of CDW's in both classes of materials, although more information is needed concerning the exact nature of the transition of an isotropic superconductor at  $T_{M^*}$  This is in agreement with the proposition that the electron energy spectrum plays a dominant role in the occurrence of the structural instability and superconductivity.

[Solid State Commun. 18, 1393 (1976)] have measured  $T_0$  of 2H-NbSe<sub>2</sub> up to 36 kbar and observed  $T_0$  decreasing nonlinearly near the critical pressure. These authors suggest that  $dT_c/dP$  and  $dT_0/dP$  should always have opposite signs.

- <sup>13</sup>L. P. Gorkov, Z. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. <u>65</u>, 1658 (1973)
   [Sov. Phys.-JETP <u>38</u>, 830 (1974)], and references therein. Also R. N. Bhatt and W. L. McMillan, Phys. Rev. B 14, 1007 (1976).
- <sup>14</sup>T. M. Rice and G. K. Scott, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>35</u>, 120 (1975).
- <sup>15</sup>C. W. Chu, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>33</u>, 1283 (1974); C. W. Chu, S. Huang, T. F. Smith, and E. Corenzwit, Phys. Rev. B 11, 1866 (1975), and references therein.
- <sup>16</sup>W. L. McMillan, Phys. Rev. B <u>12</u>, 1187 (1975).
- <sup>17</sup>F. J. DiSalvo, J. A. Wilson, B. B. Bagley, and J. V. Waszczak, Phys. Rev. B 12, 2220 (1975).
- <sup>18</sup>For reviews, see L. R. Testardi, in *Physical Acoustics*, edited by W. P. Mason and R. N. Thurston (Academic, New York, 1973), Vol. 10.
- <sup>19</sup>S. J. Williamson, C. S. Ting, and H. K. Fung, Phys. Rev. Lett. 32, 9 (1974).
- <sup>20</sup>M. P. Sarachik, M. Milewitz, and S. J. Williamson, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 21, 230 (1976).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> C. W. Chu et al., Phys. Rev. B <u>11</u>, 1866 (1975).